General Education Course Information Sheet Please submit this sheet for each proposed course

Department & Course Number				Philosophy Phil 9					
Course Title			Prin	ciples of Critical Re	easoning				
In	dicate if Seminar an	d/or Writing II co	ourse						
1 Check the recommended GE foundation area(s) and subgroups(s) for this course									
Foundations of the Arts and Humanities									
Literary and Cultural Analysi						X			
Philosophic and Linguistic A						X			
	Visual and Performance Arts Analysis and Practice								
Foundations of Society and Culture									
 Historical Analysis 									
	• Social	Analysis			-	X			
		ons of Scientifi	ic Inquiry						
	Physical Science								
	 With Laboratory or Demonstration Component must be 5 units (or more) ■ Life Science 								
			D emonstratio	n Component must be	5 units (or more)				
		,		1	·				
2. Briefly describe the rationale for assignment to foundation area(s) and subgroup(s) chosen.									
The course introduces both the practice and the theory of reasoning critically. We study the									
	structure of argument in natural language, assessment of scientific claims in popular contexts, elementary techniques of risk assessment and evaluation, and the use of visual and literary								
	techniques of persuasion.								
3. "List faculty member(s) who will serve as instructor (give academic rank):									
Professor Calvin G. Normore									
	Do you intend to use graduate student instructors (TAs) in this course? Yes X No								
			If yes, ple	ase indicate the nun	nber of TAs 3				
4.	Indicate when do	vou anticipate	teaching thi	is course over the ne	ext three years:				
	2017-18	Fall	X	Winter	Spring				
	2017-10	Enrollment	150	Enrollment	Enrollmen	t			
	20118-19	Fall	X	Winter	Spring				
		Enrollment	150	Enrollment	Enrollmen	t			
	2019-20	Fall	X	Winter	Spring				
		Enrollment	150	Enrollment	Enrollmen	t			
5. GE Course Units									
Is this an <i>existing</i> course that has been modified for inclusion in the new GE? Yes X No									
If yes, provide a brief explanation of what has changed. There is new emphasis on natural									
language reasoning, on the nature and structure of visual arguments, and on thinking about risk.									
The course has been redesigned ab novo in collaboration with the UQ Critical Reasoning Project.									
	Present Number of Units: 4 Proposed Number of Units: 5								

6.	Please present concise arguments for the GE principles applicable to this course.							
	General Knowledge	ersuasive techniqu soning to facilitate	licable across domains of es in a variety of areas and students' going on to apply life and as citizens.					
	The course focuses on general reasoning techniques and aims in particular to show the connections among patterns of reasoning across domains. Critical Reasoning is a highly "transferable" skill and a desideratum of many areas employment.							
	Ethical Implications	Reasoning is inherently either good or not. Bad reasoning supports bad policy and bad decision-making. The course aims to instruct students in both how to distinguish good from bad reasoning and how to avoid the latter.						
Ocultural Diversity No one culture owns good reasoning, but culture can we reason. There are, therefore, interesting issues a what ways reasoning is culturally conditioned. Although this issue will be discussed.				ut to what extent and in				
	This is a course devoted to the theory and practice of critical thinking and i framed around the idea that to think critically one has to first thinking about thinking (metacognition).							
	Rhetorical Effectiveness	The study of persuasive techniques persuasion is a focus of the course.	of persuasive techniques and the difference between argumentation and is a focus of the course.					
	Problem-solving		gy of problem-solving across disciplines and eing an effective thinker and being able to					
	Library & Information Literacy	The course teaches techniques of fact-checking and elementary assessment of statistical claims. It teaches students how to assess and evaluate information rather than merely consume it. It further draws connections between critical thinking and the values of inquiry, which enable students to formulate appropriate questions that drive new lines of inquiry and research.						
	(A) STUDENT CONTACT PER WEEK (if not applicable write N/A)							
	 Lecture: Discussion Sect Labs: 		4 1	(hours) (hours) (hours) (hours) (hours)				
	(A) TOTAL Student C	ontact Per Week	5	(HOURS)				
	(B) OUT-OF-CLASS H	HOURS PER WEEK (if not applicable	write N/A)					
	1. General Review	& Preparation:	1	(hours)				
	2. Reading		3	(hours)				
	3. Group Projects:	0.	2	(hours)				
		Quizzes & Exams:	1	(hours)				
	5. Information Lite	•		(hours)				
	Written Assignn	nents:	3	(hours)				

7. Research Activity: 2 (hours)

(B) TOTAL Out-of-class time per week

GRAND TOTAL (A) + (B) must equal at least 15 hours/week

(HOURS)

Philosophy 9
Principles of Critical Reasoning
Calvin G. Normore normore@humnet.ucla.edu

Aim: The course aims to introduce both the theory and the practice of reasoning critically. Reasoning is both something we do in every area of life and something for which we think there are standards that must be met if it is to be done well. This course looks at how we do reason and how we should reason with the aims of understanding both and bringing the two closer together.

Assessment: There will be an in-class test roughly half-way through the course (worth 25%), a final exam (worth 40%), and 5 Poster Arguments (using Argument Mapping Tools) and argument critiques (500 words) — worth 7 % each. The Poster assignments will consist of preparing an argument map and uploading it to a designated website. Argument maps will be analyzed and commented upon in the argument critiques

Required Reading:

Frankfurt, Harry On Bullshit (Princeton U.P.) 2005

All other required reading will be available on the course website. The core reading for the course is a set of notes which the instructor will post. These will be supplemented as indicated below by BACKGROUND READING for those who wish to go deeper into the material. The background material will NOT be required reading. (There will be a Phil 189 attached to the course for those who want to take up the background material in more detail with the instructor.)

Course Schedule

Class 1 Introduction to the course

Logic, Reasoning, and the difference between them *Background Reading:* Harman, G. "Practical Aspects of Theoretical Reasoning" In Oxford Handbook of Rationality ed. A. Mele and P. Rawling OUP 2004

- Class 2 What is an Argument? What is Validity and what is Soundness? Background Reading: Parsons, T "What is an Argument" <u>Journal of Philosophy</u> vol. 93 no. 4 (1996) pp. 164-185
- Class 3 An Introduction to Argument Mapping and the CMAP mapping tool
- Class 4 Workshop with Peter Ellerton and Deborah Brown (of "The Conversation" and the UQ Critical Thinking Project): Metacognition and the Values of Inquiry

Class 5 Brown and Ellerton Redux: Critical Thinking and Disciplinary Knowledge

Class 6 I Contradict myself, so what? Contradiction and Relevance

The 'Lewis argument" (or William's Wonderful Machine") and a tiny taste of dialethism!

Class 7 Reasoning with 'and' 'but' 'or' and especially 'not'!

We will use material from the Princeton Mental Models and Reasoning lab. Cf. http://mentalmodels.princeton.edu/lab-news/the-interpretation-of-disjunctions-depends-on-the-contents-of-its-clauses/

Background Reading: Johnson-Laird, P. and Tridgell, J.M. "When negation is easier than affirmation" <u>Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology</u> Volume 24, 1972 pp. 87-91.

Class 8 What if? Truth trees and the like

Reading: Hintikka J, & Bachman J, What If-Towards Excellence in Reasoning, Mayfield Publishing, 1991 (selections from chs.1 and 2)

Class 9 What people used to call 'Dialectic'

Class 10 Fallacies, Ancient and Modern

Reading: Peter of Spain <u>Summaries of Logic (</u>OUP 2014) ch.7 selections Practice with the medieval Ars Obligatoria

Background Reading: Hamblin C.L Fallacies (Methuen 1970) ch. 8

Class 11 Lies and Bullshit

Reading: Frankfurt, H.G. On Bullshit (P.U.P. 2005)

Class 12 Class Test

Class 13 What the Reverend Bayes Taught Us: Bayes theorem

Reading: Rosenthal, J. Struck by Lightning ch. 15

Class 14 The Base Rate Fallacy and Simpson's Paradox

Class 15 Reasoning by Analogy — and the Law

Reading: Levi, E.H. "An Introduction to Legal Reasoning" at http://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=5687&context=journal_articles Section 1

Class 16 Visual Reasoning and the Mind's Eye

Background Reading: E.S. Ferguson "the Mind's Eye: Non-Verbal Thought in Technology. Science 197 (4306):827-836.

Class 17 What we learn from stories

Reading: Brunner, John "All the Myriad Ways" Background Reading: Carol Rose, "Game Stories" 22 Yale J.L. & Human. 369,378 (2010)

Class 18 Induction and Its Ilk.

Reading: Salmon W. "The Problem of Induction"

Class 19 Testing Hypotheses

Reading: Robert Hamburger "The Argument from Design"

Class 20 Catching Up and Summing Up!

Course Revision Proposal

Philosophy 9 **Principles of Critical Reasoning** Requested revisions that apply: Renumbering Title Format Requisites Units Grading Description Multiple Listing: Add New Change Number Delete Concurrent Listing: Add New Change Number Delete **CURRENT PROPOSED** Course Number Philosophy 9 Philosophy 9 <u>Title</u> Principles of Critical Reasoning Principles of Critical Reasoning **Short Title PRNCPL-CRTCL REASNG** PRNCPL-CRTCL REASING Units Fixed: 4 Fixed: 5 **Grading** Letter grade or Passed/Not Letter grade or Passed/Not Passed **Basis** Passed **Instructional** Primary Format Primary Format Format Lecture **Lecture - 4 hours per week** Secondary Format Secondary Format Discussion **Discussion - 1 hours per week TIE Code** LECS - Lecture (Plus **LECS - Lecture (Plus Supplementary Activity) Supplementary Activity) [T]** [T] **GE No** Yes **Requisites** None None **Description** Nature of arguments: how to analyze them Nature of arguments: how to analyze them and assess soundness of the reasoning they and assess soundness of the reasoning they represent. Common fallacies that often occur represent. Common fallacies that often occur in arguments discussed in light of what counts as a good deductive or inductive in arguments discussed in light of what inference. Other topics include use of counts as a good deductive or inductive language in argumentation to arouse

inference. Other topics include use of

language in argumentation to arouse

emotions as contrasted with conveying

thoughts, logic of scientific experiments and

emotions as contrasted with conveying thoughts, logic of scientific experiments and

hypothesis-testing in general, and some

general ideas about probability and its

PHILOSOPHY 9

application in making normative decisions (e.g., betting).

hypothesis-testing in general, and some general ideas about probability and its application in making normative decisions

(e.g., betting).

Justification Redesign of course, after having not been

offered for several years, in preparation for submission of GE proposal. Weekly student

workload now totals at least 15 hours.

File Phil 9 Principles of Critical Reasoning.docx was previously

uploaded.

You may view the file by clicking on the file name.

Supplemental Attached syllabus still in early draft stage **Information**

Effective Spring 1978 Fall 2017

Date

Department Philosophy **Philosophy**

Contact Name **TANYA KIM**

F-mail

tkim@humnet.ucla.edu **Routing Help**

ROUTING STATUS

Svllabus

Role: L&S FEC Coordinator - Castillo, Myrna Dee Figuracion (MKIKUCHI@COLLEGE.UCLA.EDU) - 45040

Status: Pending Action

Role: Department Chair or Designee - Kim, Tanya Michelle (TKIM@HUMNET.UCLA.EDU) - 54171

Status: Approved on 4/20/2017 9:47:54 AM

Changes: TIE Code

Comments: Designee for Philosophy Chair Seana Shiffrin

Role: Initiator/Submitter - Kim, Tanya Michelle (TKIM@HUMNET.UCLA.EDU) - 54171

Status: Submitted on 4/20/2017 9:46:46 AM Comments: Initiated a Course Revision Proposal

Back to Course List

Main Menu Inventory Reports Help Exit Registrar's Office MyUCLA **SRWeb**

Comments or questions? Contact the Registrar's Office at cims@registrar.ucla.edu or (310) 825-6704